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Abstract—An embedded test stimulus decompressor is presented for the test patterns decompression, which can reduce the required channels and vector memory of automatic test equipment (ATE) for complex processor circuit. The proposed decompressor mainly consists of a periodically alterable MUX network which has multiple configurations to decode the input information flexibly and efficiently. In order to reduce the number of test patterns and configurations, a test patterns compaction algorithm, using CI-Graph merging, is proposed. With the proposed periodically alterable MUX network and the patterns compaction algorithm, smaller test data volume and required external pins can be achieved as compared to previous techniques.

Index Terms—Automatic test equipment (ATE), Godson processor, MUX network, test stimulus decompression.

I. INTRODUCTION

As Moore’s law predicated that the number of transistors on a chip doubles every couple of years, today’s VLSI circuits have great integration density. As the amount of logic on the chip has increased, the mount of test patterns required for such large designs is also growing rapidly. Moreover, the current generation testers have limited speed, memory and input/output (I/O) channels, and upgrading to more advanced testers can be very costly. Hence, conventional external testing approaches where test data is stored on the tester and transferred to and from circuit-under-test is becoming increasingly difficult. The main bottleneck is the limited vector memory and I/O bandwidth (product of the number of channels and tester frequency) [1]. Test compression can mitigate the requirement of the expensive automatic test equipments (ATEs) which have high-volume vector memory and a large number of channels.

The ability of test compression scheme to compress test data in previous works, stems from the high X ratio in test patterns.

The cases are investigated by some processor circuits. Table I lists the X ratio in test patterns of: one ICT microprocessor Godson2-C [2] and two IBM PowerPC microprocessor circuits. These patterns are generated by the Synopsys TetraMax ATPG tool and only consider stuck-at faults. In test pattern generation, the static compaction is applied without random X filling.

The conceptual test architecture is shown in Fig. 1. A stimulus decompressor and a response compactor (such as the compactor based on advanced convolutional code [3]) are inserted between the ATEs and scan-based circuit-under-test (CUT). The compressed stimulus is transferred from the vector memory of ATE to the on-chip decompressor through a few drive channels. The decompressor decodes the compressed stimulus into original test patterns and applies them to the CUT. The test responses are output to a compactor and then the compacted data is transferred to ATE to compare. Thus, with the decompressor and compactor, only a small number of test channels and smaller vector memory are required in the ATE.

Due to the efficiency, the test stimulus decompressor is considered as an important test resource. It is a well researched topic and a number of techniques have been presented. Golomb [4], FDR [5], Selective Huffman Code [7], and Nine-coded [6] are...
some coding-based techniques to compress the test stimulus. Reda [9] presented a mutation decoder to compress test patterns into bit stream that indicates which bits need to be flipped in current test slice to obtain the subsequent one. Linear feedback shift registers (LFSRs) were applied in [10] to expand the input information. A large LFSR driven by few external pins was presented. It is composed of a large number of smaller LFSRs, each of which feeds a scan chain. The mutation version of LFSR: ring generator was presented in [11]. Some other LFSRs-based techniques were presented in [12] and [13]. The XORs network can be used as a decompressor [14]–[17]. These methods used a network composed of XOR gates to expand the input information. They are more efficient when combined with the custom patterns compaction algorithm.

In this paper, we present an embedded decompressor based on the periodically alterable MUX network. Previous works based on MUX network were presented in [18]–[20]. The proposed scheme is different from the previous techniques in the following ways.

1) Only a serial load input is required to change the configurations, which saves the pins count required. Further, multiple configurations can guarantee to flexibly decode the input information and provide the high fault coverage.

2) A graph merging-based test compaction algorithm is proposed which cannot only reduce the number of required configurations in MUX network, but also the number of test patterns.

The rest of this paper is organized into five sections. The proposed decompression scheme is introduced in Section II. The static compaction algorithm is presented in the Section III. In Section IV and V, we analyze the experimental results, and draw some conclusions in Section VI.

II. PROPOSED EMBEDDED DECOMPRESSOR ARCHITECTURE

A. Architecture of Proposed Decompressor

The proposed embedded decompressor architecture based on MUX network is shown in Fig. 2. There are \( N \) external scan-in pins that feeds \( M \) internal scan chains through the MUX network, where \( M \gg N \). The proposed scan architecture is similar to the Illinois scan architecture [21], where multiple scan chains are fed through a single scan-in pin. However, the decompression architecture presented in this paper is more efficient than [21] as the connection relations between the external scan-in pins and the internal scan chains can be altered periodically, which results in generating a small number of more effective patterns. The periodic reconfiguration of the mapping between the scan-in pins and the internal scan chains are done by changing the control signals of the MUX network. The control signals (control lines in Fig. 2) are generated by the control
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unit. The detailed architecture is shown in Fig. 2(b). The control signals come from control registers and the T-counter that runs for T cycles. The mapping relation between scan-in pins and the internal scan chains is loaded into the shadow shift registers through a separate serial load pin (CPin) as shown in Fig. 2(b). The contents of shadow shift registers are loaded into the control registers after every T cycles. For example, if T is equal to 3, the control registers will be updated from the shadow shift registers and the mapping would change after every three cycles.

The MUX network in the proposed decompressor is mainly constructed by MUX gates. Some other kinds of gates are also included, which transfer the control signals coming from the control unit to the select lines of MUX gates. The MUX network contains several configurations. Each “configuration” means a connection relation between the inputs and the outputs of MUX network. The configurations can be selected by changing the data in control lines. This procedure is also called as “reconfigure.” The reconfiguration period is equal to the number of stages in control registers, which is also equal to the number of select lines of MUX network. Therefore, if the number of configurations of MUX network is C, then the reconfiguration period of MUX network T should be constrained as

\[ T \geq \lceil \log_2(C) \rceil. \]

As an example, the MUX network that has two configurations is shown in the following.

*Example:* In a circuit with scan chains, four external input pins will drive eight scan chains. The MUX network has two configurations. The first one is: 1 \(\rightarrow\) \{2,3,6\}, 2 \(\rightarrow\) \{7\}, 3 \(\rightarrow\) \{5,8\}, 4 \(\rightarrow\) \{1,4\}, where A \(\rightarrow\) \{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n\} means the A\textsuperscript{th} external input pin drives the B_1\textsuperscript{th}, B_2\textsuperscript{th}, \ldots, B_n\textsuperscript{th} scan chain. Another configuration is: 1 \(\rightarrow\) \{1,6\}, 2 \(\rightarrow\) \{2,4\}, 3 \(\rightarrow\) \{3,5,7,8\}.

The block diagram of the MUX network of the previous example is shown in Fig. 3. It consists of five two-input MUX gates. Since there are two configurations, only one control line of MUX network is needed. If the control line is “0,” the first configuration is selected. Otherwise, the second configuration is selected.

**B. Synthesis of the Proposed MUX Network**

If the length of the longest scan chain is L and the number of patterns is P, then the entire test set will contain \(L \times P\) scan slices. These slices can be partitioned into \(B = \lceil L \times P/T \rceil\) blocks. A block can be represented by a scan chain incompatible graph CI-Graph: \(G(V, E)\). In this graph, each node in V represents a scan chain. If the value of two nodes: \(V_i\) and \(V_j\) are incompatible, there will be an edge between them. The following definition describes the “incompatible” property.

**Definition 1:** To a scan chain \(S_i\), \(S_j[q]\) is the value of \(q\textsuperscript{th}\) scan cell in \(S_i\). Given two scan chains \(S_i\), \(S_j\), they are incompatible, if \(\exists q (1 \leq q \leq L)\), then \((S_i[q] = 0, S_j[q] = 1)\) or \((S_i[q] = 1, S_j[q] = 0)\).

Thus, \(S_i[q]\) and \(S_j[q]\) are compatible if they are equal or at least one of them is don’t care (X) bit. Two examples of CI-Graphs are shown in Fig. 4(b) and (c). The corresponding test pattern is listed in Fig. 4(a). The reconfiguration period is assumed as five cycles and each fragment of scan vector contains 10 bits (two periods). The block consists of eight scan chains, which corresponds to eight nodes in CI-Graph. We note the configuration of the first period. Considering the scan chain 1, it is incompatible with chain 5, chain 7 in the first cell and with chain 2, chain 7 in the third cell. Therefore, node 1 is connected with nodes 2, 5, and 7.

If two scan chains are compatible, they can be driven by the same pin. In the CI-Graph, if we consider compatibility, the nodes can be partitioned into independent sets. In each independent set, any pair of nodes is compatible. Thus, the scan chains whose corresponding nodes are included in an independent set, can be assigned to one external input. Hence, the following property can be obtained.

**Property 1:** If a CI-Graph of a period is partitioned into independent sets, these independent sets will correspond to a configuration. The scan chains within an independent set can be driven by one external input pin.

Note the first period in Fig. 4 again. One of possible partitioned independent sets are: \{2,3,6\}, \{7\}, \{5,8\}, \{1,4\}. They are shown in Fig. 4(b) through filling the different independent sets with different backgrounds. Each independent set needs to be driven by an external pin. The detailed architecture of the connection relation between external pins and scan chains of this example is shown in Fig. 3 when control line 1 is selected as 0.

In order to get the minimum number of external pins, the minimum number of independent sets have to be determined first. This problem of partitioning into independent sets is equivalent to the graph coloring problem. Thus, the minimum number of independent sets is equivalent to obtaining the
minimum number of distinct colors to color all nodes in a CI-Graph. If we define the compression ratio \( CR \) as

\[
CR = \frac{\text{Regular Scan Test Data}}{\text{(Periodically Alterable MUX Network Test Data)}} \times 100%.
\]

The following corollary provides an upper bound of the \( CR \):

**Corollary 1:** If the minimum number of distinct colors of each CI-Graph in the total pattern is: \( G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_B \) and \( N = \text{MAX}(G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_B) \), the upper bound of \( CR \) of MUX network is obtained as

\[
\text{MAX}(CR) = (M - N - 1)/M \times 100%
\]

where \( M \) is the number of scan chains.

**Proof:** The test data volume of regular scan architecture is

\[
V_{\text{regular}} = M \times L \times P.
\]

Based on Property 1, if a MUX network is inserted in the front of regular scan chains, the MUX network will have \( N \) inputs at least. The length of scan chains and number of patterns are not varied. Thus, the MUX network test data volume is

\[
V_{\text{MUX}} = (N + 1) \times L \times P
\]

at least (\( N + 1 \) means \( N \) data inputs and one serial load input). So the upper bound of \( CR \) of MUX network is calculated as Corollary 1.

The minimum number of distinct colors is known as the chromatic number. The chromatic number problem is a well-known NP-complete problem in graph theory. Many algorithms have been proposed to obtain approximate coloring in reasonable time [24].

**Parameters Choice:** The reconfiguration period \( T \) is an important parameter to determine compression ratio, area overhead, and even test quality. In the proposed scheme, the parameter \( T \) is predetermined based on many tries. After the determination of \( T \), the number of inputs of periodically alterable MUX network \( N \) can be obtained by Property 1. Generally, a long period will lead to a small number of configurations (area overhead), but high conflict probability, which can lead that a fault cannot be encoded. In test practices, test quality often has a higher priority than compression or others. Hence, the short period is selected in this paper. We search the period \( T \) from 3 to 10, and select the best results to report.

**C. Configurations Reduction Using Graph Merging**

Corresponding to \([L \times P/T] \) blocks, there are \([L \times P/T] \) original CI-Graphs. However, this does not imply that the MUX decompressor requires so many configurations. Some configurations can be merged and reused. If we limit the number of external pins as \( N \), the definition “\( N \)-Mergeable” can be used to formulate this merging.

**Definition 2:** Graph \( G_1 \) is defined as subgraph of graph \( G_2 \), if the following conditions are satisfied: \( \forall \) node \( n \), where \( n \in V(G_1) \), then \( n \in V(G_2) \) and \( \forall \) edge \( e \), where \( e \in E(G_1) \), then \( e \in E(G_2) \).

**Definition 3:** Two CI-Graphs \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) are defined as \( N \)-mergeable if they satisfy one of the following conditions.

1) \( G_1 \) is a subgraph of \( G_2 \) or \( G_2 \) is a subgraph of \( G_1 \).
2) After merging \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) to a new graph \( G' \), the chromatic number in \( G' \) is not greater than \( N \). The set of nodes in new graph \( G \) is \( V(G') = \{v|v \in V(G_1) \text{ or } v \in V(G_2)\} \) and the set of edges is \( E(G') = \{e|e \in E(G_1) \text{ or } e \in E(G_2)\} \). If two CI-Graphs are not \( N \)-mergeable, they are \( N \)-conflicting. Based on the definition of \( N \)-mergeable, the following property about the number of configurations of MUX decompressor can be obtained:

**Property 2:** If all CI-Graphs are grouped into \( N \)-mergeable sets and in each set any pair of CI-Graphs is \( N \)-mergeable, then the minimum number of configurations in MUX decompressor is equal to the minimum number of \( N \)-mergeable sets.

As we computed the minimum number of external inputs, the minimum number of configurations can be reduced to a graph coloring problem. It is also NP-complete. Many heuristic algorithms can be applied to determine the minimum number of configurations. However, in this paper, a simple heuristic approach as shown in the following, was used to merge CI-Graphs.

**CI-Graphs Merging Algorithm**

1) Given test patterns: the number of patterns is \( P \) and the length of scan chain is \( L \);
2) Partition the patterns into \([L \times P/T] \) blocks;
3) Construct the CI-Graph for every block. \( GL \) is the CI-Graph list;

---

**Fig. 4.** Example of CI-Graphs.
4) Select the first CI-Graph in GL as G;
5) While GL is not empty
   a) Select a new CI-Graph in GL as G';
   b) If G and G' are N-Mergeable, then merge G' into G,
      delete G' from GL.

In step 5.b, in order to determine the N-mergeable, a
graph-coloring program is embedded to calculate the chromatic
number of the merged graph.

III. TEST PATTERNS COMPACTION ALGORITHM USING
CI-GRAPH MERGING

From the synthesis flow of the periodically alterable MUX
network, it is seen that the internal structure of MUX network
is determined by test patterns. If the test patterns are predeter-
mined, the maximum compression ratio of proposed MUX
network is also determined. So, if we are able to custom the test
patterns based on the structure of decompressor, the higher
compression result can be expected.

The ability of the fixed rate compression scheme that is pro-
duced in this work to compress test data stems from the high X
ratio. In order to complete fault coverage and high test compres-
sion result, the test generation needs to be incorporated into the
compaction algorithm. While a regular compaction algorithm
only checks for compatibility of two test cubes before merging
them, this work needs to check for N-Mergeable of two patterns.

The proposed technique necessitates only slight and well-con-
tained modifications to current ATPG methods, thus retaining
the significant investment of software in the IC industry. The
algorithm in [17] is selected to modified. The main difference
with [17] is that the N-mergeable is used to merge patterns in-
stead of the compressible merging. Furthermore, a redundant
patterns reduction algorithm is augmented to remove the redund-
ant patterns.

Test Patterns Compaction Algorithm Using CI-Graph Merging

(1.) Patterns Generation(similar with [17]):
   (1.1) While(The targeted fault converge is not
       reached)
       1.1.1) Select a fault from undetected fault list
       (UFL), generate the test pattern;
       1.1.2) Fault Dropping. Fault simulation with X-bit
       and delete the detected faults from UFL.
(2.) Patterns Compaction:
   (2.1) Run the Compatible Patterns Merging
       Algorithm;
   (2.2) Run the Redundant Patterns Reduction (RPR)
       Algorithm.

In the Compatible Patterns Merging Algorithm of phase
(2.1), two conditions determine whether two patterns should
be merged. They are: 1) two patterns are compatible and 2) the
CI-Graphs of the two patterns are N-mergeable. If both are
satisfied, two patterns can be merged into one pattern and
compacted patterns are obtained.

Compared to the compaction algorithm presented in [17],
an RPR algorithm is performed. The algorithm in [17] is for-
ward-compacting completely, so some faults detected by earlier
patterns may also be accidently detected by the test patterns
later. Thus, some of the patterns generated earlier may become
redundant. The RPR algorithm identifies these patterns and re-
moves them. In our implementation, a counter was setup for
each fault to record the number of times a fault was detected so
as to determine which patterns should be removed. Through the
RPR, the compaction is improved further. Experimental results
listed in Fig. 5 shows this compaction improvement. Our com-
packtion algorithm can get better results than [17] and closer to
the MINTEST [22]. For example, for s38417, 165 patterns were
reduced when the RPR algorithm was run compared to [17].

The efficiency of the compaction algorithm can be further im-
proved by maximizing the number of unspecified bits in a test
cube without losing the ability to test the target fault [22]. The
number of test patterns may be further reduced with no signifi-
cant importance on the compression ratio through incorporation
of more efficient dynamic test compaction algorithms and fault
ordering schemes.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The proposed compaction algorithm is built on top of the
ATPG tool: ATLANTA and simulation tool: [29] HOPE [30].
The chromatic numbers of coloring graph are obtained by the
J. Culberson’s [24] program. We partition the scan chains and
get the information about the compatible relation of scan slices
and block first. Then, the compatible information is recorded as
DIMACS standard graph format file. At last, Iterated GREEDY
program is called to get the independent sets. In the implementa-
tion of Iterated GREEDY program, the selection of greedy
type is the “simple type” and the “largest first type” with proba-
bility 100/250 each. The “random” is selected with probability
TABLE II
REQUIRED TESTER’S CHANNELS AND VECTOR MEMORY REDUCTION OF ISCAS’89 CIRCUITS ONLY WITH PROPOSED DECOMPRESSOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circuits</th>
<th>No. of Patterns</th>
<th>X Ratio</th>
<th>Vol. of ( T_o )</th>
<th>No. of S. C.</th>
<th>No. of Ext. Pins</th>
<th>No. of Conf.</th>
<th>No. of MUX</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Vol. of ( T_o )</th>
<th>Channels Saved</th>
<th>Vector Memory Saved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s13207</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>82.30%</td>
<td>165,200</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41,536</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>74.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s15850</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>93.20%</td>
<td>76,986</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20,160</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>73.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s35932</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>83.70%</td>
<td>28,208</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7,168</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>74.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s38417</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>38.60%</td>
<td>164,736</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>82,368</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s38584</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>68.10%</td>
<td>199,104</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>68,816</td>
<td>65.63%</td>
<td>65.44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed decompression technique for complex processor circuit, we present the experimental results on two PowerPC circuits from IBM: PowerPC_CKT 1 and PowerPC_CKT 2. These circuits are the same as the PowerPC_CKT 1 and PowerPC_CKT 2 presented in [31]. PowerPC_CKT 1 is a logic core consisting of 51,082 gates and its test set provides 99.80% fault coverage. It has 12,256 scan cells and primary inputs. PowerPC_CKT 2 is a logic core consisting of 94,340 gates and its test set provides 99.76% fault coverage. It has 22,216 scan cells and primary inputs. The scan cells in these two production circuits are partitioned into 128, 200, 400, 600, 800, and 1000 scan chains. Because of absence of the internal circuit information, the proposed decompressor is only applied. The experimental results are listed in Table IV. It is shown that our proposed decompressor is more efficient than the input reduction technique presented in [31]. The volume of test data compressed by our technique is only 1/2.5 and 1/3.5 of the results in [31].

To evaluate the impacts of the test quality and execution time of proposed scheme to the large circuit designs, the experiments are carried on two large circuits which are assembled by some larger ISCAS’89 circuits. The ICT_CKT 1 is made up of 5 x s38417, 7 x s35932, 7 x s38584, 10 x s15850, and contains about 0.43-M gates, 31-K DFFs. The ICT_CKT 2 is made up of 15 x s38417, 20 x s35932, 20 x s38584, 30 x s15850, and 1.3-M gates, 102-K DFFs. Tables V and VI give the detailed experimental results. The column “Regular Scan + ATPG” in the tables shows the results of test patterns generated by a MINTEST-like ATPG program and the regular full scan design. In this scenario, the numbers of scan chains is also 200. The column “decompressor+compaction algorithm” lists the results that proposed algorithm targeting 100% fault coverage. Table III lists experimental results. Comparing Tables II and III, we find even though for some circuits (s13207, s35932, s38417), the numbers of test patterns of proposed compaction algorithm are worse than Duke’MINTEST test sets, the better compression ratios are always obtained because the graph-merging is considered during compaction, compaction algorithm outperforms 5.00% and 4.97% of required vector memory and channels to directly using MINTEST(D) test sets.

50/250. These programs are run on a PC workstation with Intel Pentium IV 1.6-G processor and 768-M memory. The OS platform is RedHat Enterprise AS 3 Linux. The programs are debugged under GNU GCC environment.
the compaction algorithm and MUX Network are applied to two circuits, respectively. In this scenario, the number of internal scan chains is 200. To ICT_CKT 1, when the proposed compaction algorithm and MUX network are applied, the required drive channels and vector memory are reduced from 200 and 23.37 M to 9 and 4.44 M, only with 0.01% fault coverage loss. Because the graph coloring program is executed during generating the test patterns, the proposed compaction algorithm costs about two times run time than direct ATPG. To ICT_CKT 2, when proposed compaction algorithm and MUX network are applied, the required drive channels and vector memory are reduced from 200 and 81.93 M to 9 and 4.44 M, only with 0.1% fault coverage loss.

Furthermore, we provide two comparisons of the results to previously published data, as shown in Tables VII and VIII. Table VII presents a comparison with approaches that use the same MINTEST(D) test sets. The results are taken from the five recent compression schemes: FDR [5], Mutation code [9], 9C [6], Selective Huffman [7], and three-stage [32]. The data volume in terms of the number of bits required to represent the test vectors (without the expected outputs) is provided in the table. The test volumes in bold denote the best test volume for each circuit hitherto achieved among the five previously proposed schemes. If test patterns compaction algorithm is applied, the compression ratio will rise further. The compression results with decompressor and compaction algorithm are listed in

| TABLE III | REQUIRED TESTER’S CHANNELS AND VECTOR MEMORY REDUCTION OF ISCAS’89 CIRCUITS WITH PROPOSED DECOMPRESSOR + COMPACTION ALGORITHM |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Circuits | No. of Patterns | X Ratio | Vol. of $T_D$ | Vol. of S. C. | No. of Ext. Pins | No. of Conf. | No. of MUX | Period | Vol. of $T_D$ | Channels Saved | Vector Memory Saved |
| s13207 | 248 | 87.21% | 173,600 | 32 | 6 | 14 | 29 | 5 | 32,736 | 81.25% | 81.25% |
| | | | | 64 | 6 | 15 | 51 | 4 | 16,368 | 90.63% | 90.62% |
| | | | | 100 | 7 | 16 | 76 | 4 | 12,152 | 93.00% | 93.00% |
| s15850 | 115 | 89.33% | 70,265 | 32 | 8 | 24 | 30 | 5 | 17,280 | 75.00% | 73.81% |
| | | | | 64 | 9 | 22 | 55 | 5 | 9,720 | 85.94% | 85.27% |
| | | | | 100 | 11 | 10 | 75 | 4 | 8,316 | 89.00% | 87.40% |
| s35932 | 18 | 95.20% | 31,734 | 32 | 6 | 12 | 27 | 4 | 6,048 | 81.25% | 80.94% |
| | | | | 64 | 7 | 18 | 63 | 5 | 3,528 | 89.06% | 88.88% |
| | | | | 100 | 7 | 19 | 95 | 4 | 2,268 | 93.00% | 92.85% |
| s38417 | 152 | 83.30% | 252,928 | 32 | 9 | 23 | 35 | 5 | 71,136 | 1.88% | 77.18% |
| | | | | 64 | 9 | 26 | 100 | 5 | 35,568 | 85.94% | 85.94% |
| | | | | 100 | 11 | 34 | 177 | 5 | 28,424 | 89.00% | 88.76% |
| s38584 | 123 | 82.50% | 180,072 | 32 | 9 | 13 | 31 | 4 | 50,922 | 71.88% | 71.72% |
| | | | | 64 | 10 | 24 | 48 | 5 | 28,290 | 84.38% | 84.29% |
| | | | | 100 | 10 | 44 | 152 | 4 | 18,450 | 90.00% | 89.75% |

| TABLE IV | COMPARISON TO THE INPUT REDUCTION TECHNIQUE [31] FOR IBM POWERPC MICROPROCESSOR CORE ONLY WITH PROPOSED DECOMPRESSOR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Circuits | Vol. of $T_D$ | Fault Coverage | X Ratio | Len. of Scan Chains | Proposed approach | Size of required drive channels | Size of required vector memory | No. of Conf. | No. of required drive channels | Size of required vector memory |
| PowerPC.CKT1 | 46,180,608 | 99.80% | 97.82% | 128 | 96 | 11 | 3,979,008 | 26 | 16 | 6,374,400 |
| | | | | 200 | 62 | 13 | 3,037,008 | 46 | 19 | 8,944,554 |
| | | | | 400 | 31 | 20 | 2,336,160 | 32 | 26 | 6,560,840 |
| | | | | 600 | 21 | 28 | 2,215,584 | 27 | 32 | 4,906,272 |
| PowerPC.CKT2 | 58,561,376 | 99.76% | 95.73% | 128 | 174 | 8 | 3,669,312 | 25 | - | - |
| | | | | 200 | 112 | 10 | 2,952,320 | 25 | - | - |
| | | | | 400 | 56 | 13 | 1,919,908 | 35 | 16 | 7,705,600 |
| | | | | 600 | 38 | 22 | 2,203,696 | 31 | 20 | 7,505,760 |
| | | | | 800 | 28 | 22 | 1,623,776 | 29 | 23 | 5,314,288 |
| | | | | 1000 | 23 | 25 | 1,515,700 | 40 | 27 | 5,132,565 |

| TABLE V | APPLICATION RESULTS OF ICT_CKT 1 |
|---|---|---|
| ICT.CKT 1 | Regular Scan+ ATPG | Proposed Decompressor+ Compaction Algorithm 0.01% fault coverage loss |
| Fault Coverage | 99.82% | 99.81% |
| No. of Patterns | 689 | 805 |
| No. of External Pins | 200 | 8 |
| Vol. of Test Data | 23.37M | 1.13M |
| No. of Conf. | N/A | 27 |
| Run Times | 1.2 hrs | 1.7 hrs |

| TABLE VI | APPLICATION RESULTS OF ICT_CKT 2 |
|---|---|---|
| ICT.CKT 2 | Regular Scan+ ATPG | Proposed Decompressor+ Compaction Algorithm 0.1% fault coverage loss |
| Fault Coverage | 99.23% | 99.13% |
| No. of Patterns | 763 | 869 |
| No. of External Pins | 200 | 9 |
| Vol. of Test Data | 81.93M | 4.44M |
| No. of Conf. | N/A | 26 |
| Run Times | 2.4 hrs | 3.6 hrs |
Table VIII and compared to the previous compression methods. The previous methods are mainly classified as: XOR-based and LFSR-based techniques. For most of the benchmark circuits, the proposed method provides better results. Different with Table VII, the different patterns are used in these compression scheme to gain higher results. The EDT [11] is an efficient compression scheme since its experimental results are far better than other schemes. However, our architecture provides better results compared to EDT for three out of four large benchmark circuits.

V. DISCUSSION ON THE PENALTIES OF THE PROPOSED DECOMPRESSOR

Although the test community has been enthusiastic about adopting the embedded compressor, the design community still has several concerns to apply this scheme: 1) area overhead and 2) performance degradation during functional operations. The proposed decompressor, as shown in Fig. 2, consists of two major parts: the periodically alterable MUX network and control unit. It is necessary to estimate the area and performance degradation of these parts.

We use a C program to automatically generate the Verilog behavior model of the MUX network and the control unit in a SUN Blade1000 EDA server. It is debugged under GNU CC environment. The behavior model is synthesized into gate netlist by the Synopsys Design Compiler and the Chartered 0.13-$\mu$m (csm13hp) process library. The standard cells are provided by Arisian Components, Inc. We set the wire load model as “ForQA,” in which the normalized area for a unit length of wire is 1 $\mu$m$^2$. Table VIII lists the results. Because of absence of the internal structural information of the PowerPC_CKT 1 and PowerPC_CKT 2, the areas of them are roughly estimated by the number of gates and number of scan cells. Each logic gate is optimistically mapped to 10.18 $\mu$m$^2$ which is the area of two NAND2X1 gates and each scan cell is mapped to 56.01 $\mu$m$^2$ which is the area of scan cell in Arisian standard cell library. The interconnect net area of these two circuits are assumed as 10% of logic area. 10% is the approximate ratio obtained from the ICT_CKT1 and ICT_CKT2.

Compared to the regular full scan design, our method does not augment any additional area overhead to scan cells and circuit-under-test. The main area overhead of our method is the MUX network and control unit. Observed from Table IX, the area overhead of proposed hardware is lower than 0.4% to ICT_CKT and 4.5% to PowerPC_CKT1, PowerPC_CKT2. A possible reason of the high area overhead ratio of IBM PowerPC circuit is that we only know the number of gates in these circuits and do not know what kinds of gates, thus we have no method to estimate the area precisely. As the technology feature size plunged to the nanometer range, the concern about the area overhead has been eased. Currently, allocating 10–20-k gates to the DFT logic has become acceptable. The impact on performance along the critical path is still challenging because of the high-frequency of function unit. The critical path of circuits in our experiment is evaluated using the “maximum slack time” which includes the data required time and data arrival time. Because the control unit is not in the main data path during testing, we only use the maximum slack time of MUX network and CUT.

### Table VII

**Comparison to the Previous Compression Schemes Under the Same MINTEST(D) Test Patterns**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s13207</td>
<td>30,880</td>
<td>29,224</td>
<td>19,608</td>
<td>74,433</td>
<td>15,221</td>
<td>14,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s15850</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>25,883</td>
<td>12,024</td>
<td>26,221</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>8,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s35932</td>
<td>22,744</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2,508</td>
<td>7,222</td>
<td>3,308</td>
<td>2,304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s38417</td>
<td>43,466</td>
<td>64,857</td>
<td>54,207</td>
<td>45,003</td>
<td>72,312</td>
<td>57,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s38584</td>
<td>77,812</td>
<td>68,631</td>
<td>28,120</td>
<td>73,464</td>
<td>56,301</td>
<td>26,520</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table VIII

**Comparison to the Previous Scan Chains Hiding Techniques**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circuits</th>
<th>XOR-based</th>
<th>LFSR-based</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s13207</td>
<td>25,544</td>
<td>14,145</td>
<td>19,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s15850</td>
<td>22,784</td>
<td>13,919</td>
<td>12,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s35932</td>
<td>7,128</td>
<td>4,492</td>
<td>2,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s38417</td>
<td>89,856</td>
<td>52,793</td>
<td>54,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s38584</td>
<td>38,796</td>
<td>26,644</td>
<td>28,120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table IX

**Area and Timing overhead of Proposed Decompressor**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ICT.CKT 1 with 200 scan chains</th>
<th>ICT.CKT 2 with 200 scan chains</th>
<th>PowerPC.CKT 1 with 600 scan chains</th>
<th>PowerPC.CKT 2 with 1000 scan chains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Slack Time of CUT (ns)</td>
<td>6312660.14</td>
<td>18403675.2</td>
<td>1206749.6</td>
<td>2205270.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUX Network Area ($\mu$m²)</td>
<td>23466.56</td>
<td>35923.43</td>
<td>52363.78</td>
<td>97993.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Unit Maximum Slack Time (ns)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Unit Area ($\mu$m²)</td>
<td>1254.59</td>
<td>1254.59</td>
<td>1254.59</td>
<td>1417.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Overhead%</td>
<td>0.39%</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
<td>4.44%</td>
<td>4.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing Overhead%</td>
<td>1.07%</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to compute the “timing overhead” ratios which are listed in the last row of table. Observed from the table, the maximum slack time of MUX network is far smaller than CUT and the ratio is only about 1% for ICT_CKT 1 and ICT_CKT 2.

VI. CONCLUSION

A scheme for test pattern compression is proposed. The proposed decompressor can be easily placed on the root of scan chains. By using a periodically alterable MUX network, the number of visible scan chains is reduced, and tester channels are saved. The storage requirements of ATE are significantly reduced due to the high compression levels attained in this paper. It is viable and efficient for overcoming the storage gap between external tester and internal complex circuit.

The proposed test patterns compaction algorithm can be incorporated seamlessly with current DFT flow. In it, compaction procedure is independent with test generation. Thus, some commercial ATPG tools can be employed as test pattern generation engine and do the fault simulation. They can call each other through the interfaces programmed by some script languages.

The proposed schemes do not require modifications to the test validation program. From the tester point of view, it behaves in a manner identical to regular scan applications. Reduction in required channels and storage enables utilization of low cost testers. Consequently, significant cost reduction in manufacturing tests can be achieved by incorporating the proposed compression schemes into the current design, test, and tester flows.
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